



Haverhill

Paul J. Jessel, Collection System Supervisor
Water/Wastewater Division
Phone: 978-374-2382 Fax: 978-521-4083
pjessel@haverhillwater.com

April 22, 2011

Environmental Protection Agency
Water Technical Unit (SEW)
PO Box 8127
Boston, Massachusetts 02114

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
Northeast Regional Office
Bureau of resource Protection
205B Lowell Street
Wilmington, MA 01887

Subject: City of Haverhill NPDES Permit # MA0101621
Infiltration Inflow Report 2010

Dear EPA & DEP:

In accordance with the City of Haverhill's NPDES Permit # **MA0101621**, we are providing this status report as required by item 3 Infiltration/Inflow Plan page 14 of 18. Please note the items in *italic* are taken directly from the NPDES permit followed by a response.

The permittee shall implement a plan to control infiltration and inflow (I/I) to the separate sewer system. The plan shall be kept onsite and shall be made available upon request by EPA or MassDEP. The plan shall describe the permittee's program for preventing infiltration/inflow related effluent limit violations, and all unauthorized discharges of wastewater, including overflows and by-passes due to infiltration/inflow.

The plan shall include:

- *An ongoing program to identify and remove sources of infiltration and inflow. The program shall include the necessary funding level and the source(s) of funding.*
- *An inflow identification and control program that focuses on the disconnection and redirection of illegal sump pumps and roof down spouts. Priority should be given to removal of public and private inflow sources that are upstream from, and potentially contribute to, known areas of sewer system backups and/or overflows.*
- *Identification and prioritization of areas that will provide increase aquifer recharge as the results of reduction/elimination of infiltration and inflow to the system.*

- *An educational outreach program for all aspects of I/I control, particularly private inflow.*

Reporting Requirements:


A summary report of all actions taken to minimize I/I during the previous calendar year shall be submitted to EPA and the MassDEP annually, by April 30th of each year. The summary report shall, at a minimum, include:

- *A map and a description of inspection and maintenance activities conducted and corrective actions taken during the previous year.*
- *Expenditures for any infiltration/inflow related maintenance activities and corrective actions taken during the previous year.*
- *A map with areas identified for I/I-related investigation/action in the coming year.*
- *A report of any infiltration/inflow related corrective actions taken as a result of unauthorized discharges reported pursuant to 314 CMR 3.19(20) and reported pursuant to the Unauthorized Discharges section of this permit.*

Please be advise the attached analysis shall demonstrate the non-existence of excessive infiltration/inflow for the City of Haverhill collection system flow. Since, we have demonstrated by the submittal of this report, that we are not experiencing excessive infiltration/inflow, we respectfully request a waiver of Part 1.F.3 of the City's NPDES Permit.

If you require additional information, please call me at 978-374-2382.

Sincerely,



Paul J. Jessel
Collection System Supervisor

cc: Mike Stankovich, DPW Director
Robert Ward, Deputy DPW Water/Wastewater
Fred Haffty WWTP Facility Manager
Don Freeman, CDM
Jeff Kennedy Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries
jeff.kennedy@state.ma.us

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The City of Haverhill calculated the City Wide Annual Infiltration/Inflow rates using two methods. Method 1 was to breakout the wet days and dry days to arrive at I/I rate 4,788 gpdidm for the wet weather days and 4,115 gpdidm for dry days. Method 2 was a calculation for the entire year with a citywide annual infiltration/inflow rates **2,291** gpdidm.

Furthermore, the minimum citywide rehabilitation cost is **\$24.5-Million** and the maximum rehabilitation is **\$104.2-Million**. The loan payment for 20 years at 2% interest, (only if SRF approval if not then this interest could be 4-5%), on \$24.5- Million is over \$1.4-Million per year. This far exceeds the annual **\$89,303** transportation and treatment costs.

Analysis of the City of Haverhill collection system flows for 2010 clearly indicates the non-existence of excessive infiltration/inflow. All design literature and case studies shows that Haverhill's collection system is operating within acceptable quantities for infiltration/inflow.

The City of Haverhill has a Geographic Informational System, GIS, completed in 2006. GIS has been updated to include all new subdivisions sewer segments. All sewer segments were exported from GIS and summarize by sewer diameter. In 2008, the total number of sewer miles was 173 in 2009, total number of sewer miles was 176 and in 2010, total number of sewer miles is 187.

The City has completed a sewer manhole to sewer manhole check of GIS; (over 8,000 rows of data). This was a very difficult process. Many changes to the Original GIS attribute table was made. These changes were installation date, material type, sewer diameter, and combine or separated. The GIS system is continually updated. Base upon this project, total sewer miles were increase to 187 miles. However, there are some sections of the City, which has sewer and has yet to be inputted into GIS. It is estimated that over eight (8) miles of sewer are missing. By next annual report that these sewer mains be inputted into GIS.

The findings of the analysis clearly demonstrate that excessive infiltration/inflow does not exist in the City of Haverhill's collection system. Therefore, the evaluation phase of the study has not been undertaken.

Since, we have demonstrated by the submittal of this report, that we are not experiencing excessive infiltration/inflow, we respectfully request a waiver of Part 1.F.3 of the City's NPDES Permit.

SECTION 1: COLLECTION SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

1.1. Description of Wastewater Collection System

The City of Haverhill owns and operates a wastewater collection system that conveys wastewater to the City's wastewater treatment plant, which is located on the southern shore of the Merrimack River. Portions of this collection system have been in service since the late 1800s and portions convey both stormwater and wastewater.

The piping network consists of gravity pipe ranging in size from 8 to 72-inches in diameter and force mains ranging in size from 4 to 42-inches. Approximately 37 percent of the service area has combined sewers. The majority of the combined portion of the collection system is located in the older, more densely populated downtown area, along the Merrimack River. Areas further north or south of the Merrimack River tend to be newer and generally include separate sanitary and storm sewers.

1.2. Wastewater Treatment Plant

1.2.1. CSO Phase I Upgrades

Completed in June 2006 comprise the following major components:

- **Main Wastewater Pump Station Upgrades Now Capable of Pumping 60 Mgd.**

A pump station conveys all flow from the terminus of the Bradford interceptor to the treatment plant. This station is designed for a peak flow of 60 mgd with 3 pumps in operation and a fourth pump is available as a standby pump. Connecting the pump station to the WWTP is a 42-inch force main with a length of approximately 3000 feet.

- **Modulating Influent Gate to Control Flow to the Main Wastewater Pump Station**

The potential exists that during extreme high flow and high river elevations the main pump station could become flooded. The modulating gate was installed to prevent flooding from occurring.

- **Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition, (SCADA)**

SCADA provides computer monitoring and control of critical wastewater systems from the main control room and computer terminals throughout the treatment plant. System components are monitored and can be queried through Microsoft Excel Historian. Treatment plant influent flows are monitored at the Parshall flume and were queried for this Infiltration/Inflow report.

- **CSO Wet Weather Upgrades**

Throughout the mid 1990's to 2008, the City of Haverhill implemented on a program of raising CSO weirs throughout the City, which captured 92 percent of the wet weather events.

Phase I CSO required the City to further treat CSO by upgrading the treatment plant's main pumping station to pump 60 million gallon a day, (MGD); wet weather by-pass for 40 MGD maximum; aerated grit facility to capture excessive grit and protect treatment plant equipment; modified five CSO regulators along the South side of the Merrimack River (Bradford side). With these upgrades, the City now captures over 97 percent of the wet weather events in Haverhill.

- **GIS Update**

Geographic Informational System (GIS) is continually update through out the year. The Wastewater Division has completed a sewer manhole to sewer manhole review and made many changes to GIS these consist of installation year, material type, sewer diameter, combine or separated. This was a very difficult and time-consuming task, which has taken over six (6) months to complete.

Table 1.1: Wastewater Plant Design Parameters

Parameter	Value
Influent Flow (mgd)	
Average	18.1
Maximum Day	39.2
Peak Rate (mgd)	60
Biochemical oxygen demand (lb/d)	17,650
Total Suspended Solids (lb/d)	18,560

SECTION 2: FLOW COMPONENTS

Sanitary sewer system flow has three components: Base Flow, Infiltration and Inflow.

2.1. BASE FLOW

Base flow can be determined in several ways with varying degrees of accuracy. Water consumption data adjusted for seasonal peaks, irrigation, unmetered connections, and water meter inaccuracies are often used. Also, minimum flow rates can be measured to estimate infiltration rates, which then can be subtracted from metered flow during dry weather conditions.

2.1.1. DATA SUMMARY WASTEWATER

The City's of Haverhill has recently completed a Geographic Information System, (GIS), which was used to obtain the sewer diameters and lengths. Table 2-1: gives a summary for the different sewer diameters and sewer lengths with calculated infiltration rates for the entire City.

TABLE 2-1: CITY OF HAVERHILL SUMMARY SEWERS WITH CALCULATED INFILTRATION\INFLOW

Diameter	Total Footage	Miles	Total I/I gpdidm
8	517,271.16	97.97	1,795,172
10	82,535.45	15.63	358,046
11	333.88	0.06	1,593
12	135,602.94	25.68	705,908
14	3,730.08	0.71	22,654
15	62,987.98	11.93	409,870
16	1,282.70	0.24	8,903
18	50,233.23	9.51	392,249
20	6,523.96	1.24	56,603
21	14,123.94	2.67	128,669
22	745.56	0.14	7,115
24	40,249.59	7.62	419,054
25	1,260.99	0.24	13,676
26	458.56	0.09	5,172
30	21,043.50	3.99	273,865
32	701.15	0.13	9,733
36	11,016.79	2.09	172,050
42	2,266.46	0.43	41,295
44	1,281.97	0.24	24,470
48	6,511.83	1.23	135,594
50	3,884.13	0.74	84,248
54	4,711.68	0.89	110,374
60	11,003.06	2.08	286,393
66	5,733.02	1.09	164,144
72	1,781.80	0.34	55,653
84	229.34	0.04	8,357
Totals	985,494	187	5,682,504
Haverhill's annual I/I rate for 2010 (gpdidm)			2,291

2.1.2 CITY OF HAVERHILL WATER/WASTEWATER ACCOUNTS

Water and Wastewater meter readings were obtained from the Water and Wastewater Billing Office. These records were broken out into Residential and Commercial accounts that have City water and City sewerage. In addition Commercial and Residential accounts that have City water but **no** City sewerage. This analysis revealed 1,990 Commercial accounts connected to City sewerage 13,142 residential accounts connected to City sewerage a total 15,132 in 2010, (15,339 for 2009).

2.1.3. CSO PHASE I STUDY

Phase I of the Combine Sewer Overflow study required the City to developed a Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition (SCADA), system, completed in June 2006. The SCADA system was queried to obtain daily flows to the Haverhill Water Pollution Control Facility (HWPCF) for the entire calendar year of 2010.

2.1.4. WASTEWATER ACCOUNTS NOT INCLUDED

HWPCF receives flows from the Town of Groveland, which is pumped into the HWPCF's force main. HWPCF no longer receives flows from HPB and has been eliminated from the calculation. Groveland has submitted quarterly flows for 2010. Table 2.2 shows the daily flow rate for Groveland. These flows are subtracted from the HWPCF daily flow rates.

Table 2.2 Groveland Daily Flows

	Groveland Flows		Gallons	Daily Million Gals
First Quarter	1/1/2011	3/31/2011	28,549,169	0.317
Second Quarter	4/1/2011	6/30/2011	26,135,632	0.290
Third Quarter	7/1/2011	9/30/2011	12,748,092	0.139
Fourth Quarter	10/1/2011	12/31/2011	15,770,092	0.171

2.1.5. WASTEWATER FLOW SUMMARY

Table 2-3: Wastewater Flows after Groveland Flow Is Subtracted

Date	Water & Sewer Accounts	WWTP Actual Flow	Rainfall	Groveland Flow	WWTP Groveland subtracted
1/1/10	4.963	11.51	0.00	0.32	11.20
1/2/10	4.304	11.74	0.04	0.32	11.43
1/3/10	4.767	11.33	0.00	0.32	11.02
1/4/10	5.270	10.92	0.00	0.32	10.61
1/5/10	5.296	10.49	0.00	0.32	10.18
1/6/10	5.043	10.38	0.00	0.32	10.07
1/7/10	5.271	10.23	0.00	0.32	9.92
1/8/10	4.786	9.84	0.00	0.32	9.53
1/9/10	4.747	9.76	0.00	0.32	9.45
1/10/10	5.858	9.58	0.00	0.32	9.27
1/11/10	5.757	9.53	0.00	0.32	9.22
1/12/10	4.712	9.41	0.00	0.32	9.10

1/13/10	5.705	9.25	0.00	0.32	8.94
1/14/10	6.199	9.69	0.00	0.32	9.38
1/15/10	5.267	9.88	0.00	0.32	9.57
1/16/10	4.817	9.29	0.00	0.32	8.98
1/17/10	5.065	9.76	0.08	0.32	9.45
1/18/10	4.849	11.63	0.28	0.32	11.32
1/19/10	5.042	9.92	0.08	0.32	9.61
1/20/10	4.967	10.01	0.04	0.32	9.70
1/21/10	4.540	9.59	0.00	0.32	9.28
1/22/10	4.960	9.25	0.00	0.32	8.94
1/23/10	5.038	9.19	0.00	0.32	8.88
1/24/10	4.970	9.31	0.00	0.32	9.00
1/25/10	4.920	26.46	0.28	0.32	26.15
1/26/10	4.643	18.28	0.00	0.32	17.97
1/27/10	4.934	14.88	0.00	0.32	14.57
1/28/10	5.586	14.59	0.00	0.32	14.28
1/29/10	4.516	12.73	0.00	0.32	12.42
1/30/10	4.903	12.07	0.00	0.32	11.76
1/31/10	5.277	12.06	0.00	0.32	11.75

Table 2.4 below summarizes the entire flow distribution for the City of Haverhill.

Table 2-4: Wastewater Flow Summary 2010

-	Annual HWPCF Total Flow, (MG)	4,200.44
-	Average Flow (MGD)	11.51
-	HWPCF Base flow (MGD)	5.597
-	I/I Est. Rate(MGD)	5.911

2.2. DATA SUMMARY WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Water pumped from the Water Treatment Plant was captured utilizing SCADA. Daily consumption data was queried with the following equation:

Equation 2-2: Daily Finished Water Flow pump daily **minus** storage tank level increasing **plus** storage tank decreases. This equation was used to develop the daily total water consumption rate for all residents regardless if the resident was on city sewerage.

To derive water consumption flows, which are connected to the City's sewerage system, **Table 2-5** and **Equation 2-3** were developed.

Equation: 2-3: Total Actual Water Demand **minus** (Total Actual Water Demand **multiplied by** Variance applied to each day for unaccounted water flows) **multiplied by** (Percentage, base upon flow data, on City sewer).

Example 2-2: January 1, 2010: [4.403- (4.403*.0004)] X.9779 = 4.304 MGD water flow consumed and on city sewerage.

This equation was used for each day, which is the City's **BASE FLOW** to the Wastewater Treatment Plant. From this **BASE FLOW** infiltration and inflow amounts can be calculated. The Average Base flow for Calendar year 2010 is 5.597 MGD.

Table 2-5: Total Water Flow Distribution Gallons For 2010

Total Water Flow Distributions Gallons for 2010		
	Gallons	Daily MG
Total Gallons Consumed from Water Treatment	<u>2,089,893,288</u>	5.73
Unaccounted water usage gallons	294,674,954	0.81
Unaccounted water from ASR 2010 report	<u>14.10%</u>	
Total gallon use after unaccounted water is subtracted	<u>1,795,218,334</u>	4.92
Total Gallons Commercial Not on Sewer	122,166,352	0.33
Total Gallons Residential Not on Sewer	262,437,296	0.72
Total Not on Sewer	<u>384,603,648</u>	1.05
Total Gallons Commercial on Sewer	680,780,232	1.87
Total Gallons Residential On Sewer	1,001,035,684	2.74
Total Public Property on sewer	73,652,568	0.20
Total Gallons on Sewer	<u>1,755,468,484</u>	4.81
Percentage, base upon flow data, on City sewer	97.79%	
Percentage Not on City Sewer system	21.42%	
Variance applied to each day for water accounts not on sewer	0.04%	
Water flow data was obtained from John D'Aoust Water Treatment Facility Manager.		

In order to calculate population served, which is on City sewerage Table: 2-6 was developed.

Table 2-6: Population Served On City's Sewerage System

Type of Residential Service Connection (single-family, two-family, etc.)	Total # of service connections to each Type	# of households per service connection (1 for single family, 2 for two-family, etc.)	# of households
Single- Family:	15142	1	15142
Two-Family:	1893	2	3786
Three Family	464	3	1392
Four or More Family	354	4	1416
		Totals	<u>21,736</u>
Wastewater bills 17,374 household out of 20,226		% on sewer	85.90%
		Total on sewer	<u>18,671</u>
# of Households	Average Household Size from DHCD website		Population Served
	2.51		<u>46,864</u>
Per Captia Flow Rate	gal./per captia	Population Served	
	<u>119.43</u>	<u>46,864</u>	5.60

This calculation follows a similar calculation taken from Haverhill's 2009 **Public Water Supply Annual Statistical Report, ASR 2008, (PWSID # 312800)** and is adjusted base upon of water pumped from the Water Treatment Plant to buildings that are connected to City sewerage system. This table reveals that 46,864 residents are connected to the City sewer system

Table 2.7 Household Distribution

Single- Family:	13,123	15,033	15,142
Two-Family:	1,910	1,897	1,893
Three Family:	458	466	464
Four or More Family	358	353	354

2.3. INFILTRATION

Infiltration is the water entering a collection system from groundwater sources, through defective pipes, leaking pipe joints, connections and manhole bases and walls. Water entering the system through this route is usually very clean and pollution free.

2.3.1 DRY WEATHER

The City defines dry weather as a **Minimum 72 Hours No Rainfall Over 0.1 Inches**. Utilizing this definition, the annual wet days were recorded. The City of Haverhill records rainfall utilizing a Rainwise Rain Gage, which is recorded in 15-minutes intervals. This gage is use for the City's annual CSO report, Haverhill experienced 88 wet days, and 2767dry weather days for the 2010 calendar year.

Equation 2-4: WWTP Flow MGD minus WWTP Base Flow, (BASE FLOW from Equation 2-2).

Example 2-3: 1/1/2010 11.51 MGD -4.963MGD = 6.55 MGD

Based upon **Equation 2-4** infiltration was calculated for all days which dry weather occurred, 277-days of dry weather.

Table 2-8: Dry Weather Conditions 2010

Total Dry Weather (MGD):	2,827.71
2010 Average base upon 277 days dry weather (MGD):	10.21
2010 Annual average base upon 365 days (MGD):	7.75
Citywide I/I rate using for 277 days 10.21 MGD (gpd/ldm):	4,115
Number of Dry Weather (days):	277

2.4. INFLOW

Inflow is water discharged to a collection system from roof leaders, cellars, yard drains, combined sewer overflows, catch basins, manhole covers, storm water, and/or surface runoff.

2.4.1. INFLOW CALCULATION

Based upon **Equation 2-2** inflow was calculated for all days which wet weather occurred, 88-days for calendar year 2010

Equation 2-5: WWTP Flow MGD - WWTP Base Flow, (BASE FLOW from Equation 2-3).

Example 2-4: 1/2/2010 11.74 MGD -4.304 MGD = 7.44 MGD

Table 2-9: Wet Weather Conditions 2010

SWMM CSO 2010 (MG):	<u>143.60</u>
Total Wet Weather (MGD):	<u>1,045.01</u>
2010 Average base upon 88 days wet weather (MGD):	<u>11.88</u>
2010 Annual average base upon 365 days (MGD):	<u>2.86</u>
Citywide I/I wet weather rate using 166 days 11.51 MGD (gpdidm):	<u>4,788</u>
Wet Weather (days):	88

2.4.2. COMBINE SEWER OVERFLOW CSO

The City has submitted to EPA and DEP “SWMM Model Calibration and Evaluation of Existing Conditions” report prepared by CDM dated July 1998. Total CSO flow volume for calendar year 2010 is **143.60 MG**. This CSO flow was added to the inflow section of this analysis.

2.5. EXCESSIVE INFILTRATION/INFLOW

Definition of “excessive Infiltration/Inflow:” The quantity of infiltration and inflow, which can be economically eliminated from the collection system by rehabilitation, as determined by a cost effectiveness analysis that compares the costs for transportation and treatment of the infiltration/inflow.

According to “DEP Guidelines for Performing Infiltration/Inflow Analyses and Sewer System Evaluation Survey” Revised January 1993, excessive infiltration is 4,000 gallons per day per inch-mile (gpdidm). As can be seen in Table 2.1 Haverhill’s total I/I is **2,291 gpdidm**, which clearly demonstrates Haverhill does not have excessive infiltration/inflow.

SECTION 3: INFILTRATION/INFLOW

3.1. TRANSPORTATION OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS

According to EXISTING SEWER EVALUATION & REHABILITATION WEF Manual of Practice FD-6 “ To determine whether I/I is excessive, rough cost comparison between transportation and treatment or elimination of I/I through corrective action are made. If I/I is excessive, the next phase should be the sewer system evaluation survey.”

3.1.1. VEHICULAR COSTS

The City repairs Collection Division vehicles under Lift Station Account, (LSTA).

3.1.2. LABORER COSTS

The City of Haverhill currently has the following Job Positions, which deal directly with Collection system

Job Position	Current Staff Size
Collection System Supervisor	1
Senior Collection System operator	1
Collection System Operators	4
Highway Department	3

An analysis was conducted for calendar year for all positions mention above, which included any overtime. Base upon this analysis total labor for 2010 equals **\$212,861**

3.1.3. GASOLINE

Gasoline usage summary was obtain for each vehicle for Wastewater and broken out to services for Collection Division. The following vehicles are use for the collection division S-10 range pick-up Truck; S-12 F250 Utility Truck; S-13 F350 Utility Truck; S-5 Diesel Mack catch Basin Cleaner, and S-11 diesel Sewer Jet Machine. These records revealed total gasoline usage to be **\$11,270.85**

3.1.4. POWER COSTS

National Grid bills for the City main pumping station located at 40 South Mill Street, along with the City’s 33-sewer lift stations, revealed a total power requirement of **\$ 231,807.75** to pump the City sewerage. It was estimates that 75% of the power was allocated to pumping.

3.1.5. COLLECTION SYSTEM MAINTENANCE

The City of Haverhill has two accounts devoted to the collections system called Lift Station Account, (LSTA) and Sewer Assessment used to televise the City’s sewers. Review for calendar year 2010 revealed **\$98,571.73** was spent on Collection System Maintenance.

3.1.6. DEBT SERVICE

The City has committed to the CSO Phase I and associated treatment plant upgrades, which costs \$20.1- million. The annual debt service equals \$1,307,000.

3.2. TRANSPORTATION OPERATION & MAINTENANCE COSTS FOR INFILTRATION/INFLOW

Table 3-1: shows the transportation costs to pump infiltration and inflow to the HWPCF base upon energy and operation and maintenance of the collection system.

Table 3-1: Infiltration/Inflow O & M Costs

2010 TOTAL WASTEWATER TRANSPORTATION O & M COSTS		
ITEM		COMMENTS
Wastewater Capital Outlay	\$70,076	base cost, independent of flow
LABOR	\$212,861	base cost, independent of flow
Gasoline	\$11,271	
ENERGY	\$ 231,807.75	75% of cost is flow driven
CS Maint	\$98,572	
Interest on CS Capital	\$1,371,860	Capital Projects for Collection System and CSO phase I
<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>\$1,996,447</u>	
days/year	365	
avg Q, gpd	11,508,046	average daily plant flow includes inflow
factor	1000	
cost/1000gals/day	<u>\$0.475</u>	wastewater transportation costs per 1000gals/day
ACTUAL COSTS TO PUMP WASTEWATER		
ENERGY	\$231,808	75% of cost is flow driven
	\$173,856	annual cost to actually pump wastewater
days/year	365	
avg Q, gpd	11,508,046	average daily plant flow
factor	1000	
cost/1000gals/day	<u>\$0.041</u>	wastewater transportation costs per 1000gals/day
COST TO PUMP INFILTRATION/INFLOW		
cost/1000gals/day	<u>\$0.041</u>	wastewater transportation costs per 1000gals/day
I/I in 1000gals/day	5,911.253	Avg. annual I/I in 1000gals/day
days/year	365	
cost to pump I/I	<u>\$89,303</u>	annual cost to pump I/I wastewater

3.3. REHABILITATION COSTS

According to “*EPA Handbook Sewer System Infrastructure Analysis and Rehabilitation*” 1991, Chapter 6 provides sewer rehabilitation costs for the following type of sewer rehabilitation:

Excavation; Grouting; Slip-lining using HDPE; Slip-lining using PE pipe; Slip-lining using Thermosetting Resin; Cured-in-Place.

Table 3-2 lists these rehabilitation costs for 1991 costs. The City of Haverhill has different sewer diameters that were not included in EPA's Handbook. Those sewer diameters (in blue) are estimated costs to further refine the City's expected rehabilitation costs. Not included are manhole rehabilitation costs. The minimum rehabilitation cost is \$24-Million and the maximum rehabilitation is \$104-Million. The loan payment for 20 years at 2% interest on \$24-Million is over \$1.5-Million per year. This far exceeds the \$89,303 transportation and treatment costs.

TABLE 3-2: CITY OF HAVERHILL SEWER REHABILITATION COSTS

Dia.	Total Foot	Excavation Costs		Grouting Costs		Sliplining with HDPE		Sliplining with PE Pipe		Sliplining Thermosetting Resin		Cured in Place		Min	MAX	Min	MAX
		Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High				
8	517,271	\$50	\$75	\$24	\$36	\$9	\$20	\$40	\$60	\$25	\$30	\$45	\$60	\$9	\$75	\$4,655,440	\$38,795,337
10	82,535	\$55	\$85	\$28	\$42	\$17	\$33	\$45	\$70	\$33	\$43	\$60	\$75	\$17	\$85	\$1,403,103	\$7,015,514
12	334	\$65	\$95	\$32	\$48	\$25	\$45	\$50	\$75	\$40	\$55	\$68	\$100	\$25	\$100	\$8,347	\$33,388
14	135,603	\$70	\$105	\$34	\$51	\$27	\$48	\$55	\$80	\$45	\$58	\$75	\$125	\$27	\$125	\$3,593,478	\$16,950,368
15	3,730	\$73	\$108	\$36	\$54	\$28	\$51	\$58	\$83	\$50	\$62	\$80	\$131	\$28	\$131	\$104,442	\$488,641
16	62,988	\$75	\$110	\$38	\$57	\$30	\$55	\$60	\$95	\$55	\$65	\$85	\$138	\$30	\$138	\$1,889,639	\$8,692,341
18	1,283	\$80	\$120	\$40	\$60	\$33	\$68	\$65	\$80	\$63	\$75	\$90	\$145	\$33	\$145	\$41,688	\$185,991
20	50,233	\$95	\$145	\$49	\$81	\$35	\$70	\$69	\$92	\$70	\$85	\$95	\$153	\$35	\$153	\$1,758,163	\$7,660,568
21	6,524	\$105	\$160	\$68	\$102	\$42	\$82	\$72	\$103	\$75	\$88	\$105	\$175	\$49	\$175	\$692,073	\$2,471,689
22	14,124	\$115	\$175	\$72	\$90	\$49	\$94	\$95	\$135	\$85	\$90	\$110	\$190	\$56	\$190	\$41,751	\$141,656
24	746	\$125	\$190	\$76	\$95	\$56	\$106	\$95	\$135	\$92	\$97	\$122	\$205	\$59	\$205	\$74,398	\$258,503
26	1,261	\$130	\$195	\$86	\$105	\$59	\$109	\$105	\$145	\$92	\$97	\$122	\$205	\$59	\$205	\$74,398	\$258,503
30	459	\$135	\$205	\$96	\$144	\$63	\$118	\$115	\$155	\$100	\$130	\$135	\$220	\$63	\$220	\$28,889	\$100,883
32	21,043	\$160	\$225	\$110	\$165	\$70	\$130	\$135	\$175	\$115	\$140	\$140	\$250	\$70	\$250	\$1,473,045	\$5,260,874
36	701	\$185	\$245	\$124	\$186	\$80	\$155	\$155	\$190	\$130	\$150	\$155	\$270	\$80	\$270	\$56,092	\$189,310
42	11,017	\$210	\$265	NA	NA	\$93	\$193	\$190	\$230	\$145	\$175	\$165	\$305	\$93	\$305	\$1,019,054	\$3,360,122
48	1,282	\$235	\$285	NA	NA	\$105	\$230	\$220	\$275	\$170	\$200	\$185	\$350	\$105	\$350	\$134,607	\$448,689
50	6,512	\$238	\$288	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$240	\$302	\$180	\$208	\$195	\$370	\$180	\$370	\$1,172,129	\$2,409,376
54	3,884	\$240	\$295	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$265	\$329	\$190	\$215	\$205	\$390	\$190	\$390	\$737,985	\$1,514,812
60	4,712	\$275	\$340	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$290	\$355	\$215	\$255	NA	NA	\$215	\$355	\$1,013,011	\$1,672,645
66	11,003	\$310	\$395	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$333	\$403	\$215	\$275	NA	NA	\$215	\$403	\$2,365,658	\$4,434,233
72	5,733	\$365	\$450	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$375	\$460	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$365	\$460	\$2,092,552	\$2,637,189
6	0	\$45	\$70	\$20	\$30	NA	NA	\$35	\$60	NA	NA	\$30	\$45	\$20	\$70	\$0	\$0
Totals:														\$24,629,551	\$105,765,964		

Minimum Rehab Finance Annual Costs 2% for 20-Years:

(\$1,506,262.49)

3.4. CONCLUSIONS

The City of Haverhill has clearly demonstrated that there is no infiltration/inflow problem. DEP states that excessive I/I is greater than **4,000 gpdidm**, the I/I rate for the City of Haverhill is **2,291 gpdidm** for 2010 calendar year.

Analysis of the City's collection system for 2010 clearly indicates the non-existence of excessive infiltration/inflow. All design literature and case studies shows that the City's collection system to be operating within acceptable quantities for infiltration/inflow. Therefore, the evaluation phase of the study has not been undertaken.

Transportation and treatment costs are **\$89,303** compared to the rehabilitation costs of over **\$3-Million** per year.

3.5. WAIVER REQUEST

Since, we have demonstrated by the submittal of this report, that we are not experiencing excessive infiltration/inflow, we respectfully request a waiver of Part 1.F.3 of the City's NPDES Permit.

3.6. REFERENCES

"Sewer System Infrastructure Analysis and Rehabilitation" United States Environmental Protection Agency EPA/625/6-91/030 October 1991 Chapter 6 Sewer System Rehabilitation

"DEP Guidelines for Performing Infiltration/Inflow Analyses And Sewer System Evaluation Survey" Revised January, 1993

"Manual of Practice FD-6; *Existing Sewer Evaluation & Rehabilitation*. Water Pollution Control Federation (1983)

Paul Jessel

From: Hilton.Joy@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2011 7:30 AM
To: Paul Jessel
Subject: Re: City of Haverhill Infiltration and inflow Annual report

Mr. Jessel-

I received the City's electronically-submitted report.

I noted that the first page of the report was addressed to EPA's old and now defunct PO Box 8127 address. Mail that is addressed to PO Box 8127 is no longer being forwarded. Please update your records for NPDES reports submission to:

Water Enforcement
OES4-SMR
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100
Boston, MA 02109-3912.

Thank you.

Joy Hilton, Environmental Engineer
Water Technical Unit (OES04-3)
U.S. EPA - New England, Region 1
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100
Boston, MA 02109-3912
Telephone: (617) 918-1877
Fax: (617) 918-0877

From: "Paul Jessel" <pjessel@haverhillwater.com>
To: "Debbie Fallon" <dfallon@haverhillwater.com>, <freemandb@cdm.com>, "Fred Haffty" <fhaffty@haverhillwater.com>, Joy Hilton/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, <Kevin.Brandner@state.ma.us>, Michael Wagner/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, "Mike Stankovich" <mstankovich@haverhillwater.com>, <campbellnw@cdm.com>, "Nihar Mohanty" <nihar.mohanty@state.ma.us>, "Paul Jessel" <pjessel@haverhillwater.com>, "Robert Ward" <rward@haverhillwater.com>
Cc: <jeff.kennedy@state.ma.us>
Date: 04/25/2011 06:54 AM
Subject: City of Haverhill Infiltration and inflow Annual report

Please find the attached document representing the City of Haverhill's Infiltration and Inflow Annual report for 2010. If you have any questions either call my office 978-374-2382 or replay to this email.

Ms Hilton:
Please replay that you have received this email

Your attention in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Thank You

Paul J. Jessel
Collection System Supervisor
City of Haverhill
Water and Wastewater
40 South Porter Street
Haverhill, MA 01835
Tel. (978) 374-2382
Fax (978) 521-4083
Email: pjessel@haverhillwater.com
City web site: www.ci.haverhill.ma.us
[attachment "City-of-Haverhill-MA0101621-II-Report-4-25-2011.pdf"
deleted by Joy Hilton/R1/USEPA/US]